# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Young Hwa Kim et al. Examiner:

Appln. No.: 10/734.686

Filing Date: December 12, 2003

Title: ABRASION AND HEAT

RESISTANT FABRICS

DAVIS, Jenna L.

Group Art Unit: 1794 Confirmation No.: 1211

Customer No.: 25764

Docket No.: 78693 - 344308

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER (ALONG WITH ANY REFERRED TO AS BEING ATTACHED OR ENCLOSED) IS BEING TRANSMITTED TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P. O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22313-1450 ON OCTOBER 12, 2007, VIA THE USPTO-EFS-WEB FILING SYSTEM

# SUBMISSION OF CORRESPONDENCE IN OTHER APPLICATION(S)

The applicant hereby brings the following correspondence in one or more other applications to the Examiner's attention. Applicant makes no admission as to the materiality of the correspondence to the present application.

(1)Office Action dated September 24, 2007, from Examiner Andrew T. Piziali, Art Unit 1771, for U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/273,409.

Respectfully submitted.

FAEGRE & BENSO

Bv:

Walter C. Linder, Reg. No. 31,707

612/766-8801

Customer No.: 25764

Dated: October 12, 2007

fb us 2354875 01



United States Paten FOR THE DEMARK OFFICE

SEP 2 7 2007 127 W UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/273,409
 10/17/2002
 Nicole Smith
 H49 12,0001
 4/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/12
 1/

23704 09/24/2007
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP
PATENT DOCKETING
2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS. MN 55402-3901

DOCKETED IFM EXAMINER

FIZIALI, ANDREW T

ART UNIT

ART UNIT

ART UNIT

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

09/24/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Fire (2M), 11/24/2007 Response / Appeel (3M/Stat): 12/24/2007 Response / Appeel (6M/Fire): 3/24/2008

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/273,409	SMITH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew T. Piziali	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILUNI PLANTED AND A SHORT SHOULD BE WELLING THE WASHED WITH THE WASHED WITH THE WASHED WITH THE WASHED	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  hy be timely filed  This from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 February 2007.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 68-93 is/are pending in the applic	cation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 68-93 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2003 i		bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in Ap	pplication No
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.
Market 163		
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intention St	ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (F10-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	B) Paper No(s).	/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Inf 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/273,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 2/14/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 71, 80 and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to describe the plates covering less than 10% of the top surface.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 68, 69, 71-73, 76-78, 80-82, 85-87 and 89-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/07509 to Hoglund in view of USPN 3,925,034 to Anna.

Hoglund discloses a flexible scrubbing material comprising a continuous woven fabric having fibers and a top surface and a plurality of epoxy resin plates printed onto the top surface, the plates partially penetrating the woven fabric and bonded with the fibers (see entire document including page 3, lines 18-27, the paragraph bridging pages 7 and 8, and the Figures).

Hoglund does not specifically mention that the epoxy resin is cured and thus rigid, but Anna discloses that it is conventional to cure epoxy resins (see entire document including Application/Control Number: 10/273,409

Art Unit: 1771

column 3, lines 40-51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cure the epoxy resin, motivated by a desire to increase the binding strength of the epoxy binder.

Regarding claims 69, 78 and 86, the epoxy resin plates comprise a plurality of abrasive particles (paragraph bridging pages 7 and 8).

Regarding claims 71, 80 and 89, the plates appear to cover less than 10% of the top surface (see Figures). In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the surface area occupied by the plates, such as less than 10%, because it is understood by one of ordinary skill in the art that grinding area determines grinding ability and because it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 72, 81 and 90, the woven fabric is a pattern. In addition, the placement of the plates forms a pattern on the top surface of the fabric.

Regarding claims 73, 82 and 91, a compressible layer may be coupled to the woven fabric (page 5, lines 30-34 and page 9, lines 23-31).

Regarding claim 76, the plurality of plates have a height and width that are generally the same (see Figures).

Regarding claim 85, the plurality of rigid plates define open channels extending across a substantial portion of the top surface (see Figures). The flexibility of the fabric and plate combination is generally the same in a plurality of different directions because the plates are equally spaced in a repeating pattern (see Figures).

Application/Control Number: 10/273,409 Art Unit: 1771

 Claims 70, 79 and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/07509 to Hoglund in view of USPN 3,925,034 to Anna as applied to claims 68, 69, 71-73, 76-78. 80-82. 85-87 and 89-91 above, and further in view of USPN 5.310.590 to Tochacek.

Martin does not appear to disclose the fabric layer comprising cotton or a cotton/polyester blend, but Tochacek discloses that abrasive scrubbing fabrics may be made of cotton, polyester, or a combination thereof (see entire document including column 16, lines 15-18). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use cotton or cotton/polyester fabrics in the scrubbing article, because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

6. Claims 72, 81 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/07509 to Hoglund in view of USPN 3,925,034 to Anna as applied to claims 68, 69, 71-73, 76-78, 80-82, 85-87 and 89-91 above, and further in view of WO 00/76430 to Goyarts.

Goyarts discloses that it is known in the art to print a decorative pattern on a fabric layer (see entire document including page 12, lines 28-33). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to print a decorative pattern on the fabric for aesthetic reasons. The image would be visible because the plates are discontinuous.

Application/Control Number: 10/273,409

Art Unit: 1771

 Claims 74, 75, 83, 84, 92 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/07509 to Hoglund in view of USPN 3,925,034 to Anna as applied to claims 68, 69, 71-73, 76-78, 80-82, 85-87 and 89-91 above, and further in view of USPN 5,671,498 to Martin.

Hoglund does not appear to specifically mention a second woven fabric having fibers enclosing the compressible foam layer and comprising a plurality of cured epoxy resin plates printed onto a top surface of the second woven fabric, but Martin discloses that it is known in the art to form a sandwich structure (see entire document including column 8, lines 23-35 and Figures 12 and 13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the claimed sandwich structure, motivated by a desire to provide a product with two grinding surfaces.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/273,409 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew T Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1771

#### 

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

		U.S. FATERI DOCUMENTS					
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification		
*	Α	US-3,925,034	12-1975	Anna et al.	51/296		
	В	US-					
	C	US-					
	D	US-					
	E	US-					
	F	US-					
	G	US-					
	н	US-					
	1	US-					
	J	US-					
	К	US-					
	L	US-					
	М	US-					

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

				POREIGN PATERIL	OCUMENTS	
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N	WO 9607509 A1	03-1996	WIPO	HOGLUND	
	0	WO 0076430 A1	12-2000	WIPO	GOYARTS	
	Ρ					
	Q					
	R					
	S			1		
	T					

### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	HON-FAIENI DOCUMENTS			
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)		
	U			
	٧			
	w			
	x			

"A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.